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## How to Represent Words?

- N-gram language models:

It is 76 F and $\qquad$ .

$$
\underset{\text { red }}{[0.0001,0.1,0,0,0.002, \ldots,} \underset{\text { sunny }}{0.3, \ldots, o]}
$$

- Text classification:

$$
P(y=1 \mid \boldsymbol{x})=\sigma(\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}+b)
$$

I like this movie.

$\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}$
$[0,1, \quad 0, \quad 0, \quad 0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 1]$
I don't like this movie. $\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}[\mathrm{o}, 1, \quad \mathrm{o}, 1, \mathrm{o}, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 1]$ don't

## Representing Words as Discrete Symbols

- In traditional NLP, we regard words as discrete symbols: hotel, conference, motel - a localist representation

$$
\text { one } 1 \text {, the rest o's }
$$

- Words can be represented by one-hot vectors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hotel }=[0000000000010000] \\
& \text { motel }=[0001000000000000]
\end{aligned}
$$

- Vector dimension $=$ num of words in vocabulary
(e.g., 500,000)
- No way to encode similarity between words!


## QuIZ: ONE-HOT VECTORS

- Using one-hot vectors to represent words, why is there no way to encode similarity between the words?


## Represent Words by their Context

- Distributional hypothesis: words that occur in similar contexts tend to have similar meanings



## J.R. Firth 1957

"You shall know a word by the company it keeps"
One of the most successful ideas of modern statistical NLP!
...government debt problems turning into banking crises as happened in 2009...
...saying that Europe needs unified banking regulation to replace the hodgepodge... ...India has just given its banking system a shot in the arm...

These context words will represent banking.

## Distributional Hypothesis

"tejuino"


- C1: A bottle of ___ is on the table.
- C2: Everybody likes $\qquad$ .
- C3: Don't have ___ before you drive.
- C4: We make $\qquad$ out of corn.


## Distributional Hypothesis

C1: A bottle of $\qquad$ is on the table.

C2: Everybody likes $\qquad$ .
C3: Don't have $\qquad$ before you drive.
C4: We make $\qquad$ out of corn.
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## Words as VECTORS

- We'll build a new model of meaning focusing on similarity
- Each word is a vector
- Similar words are "nearby in space"
- A first solution: we can just use context vectors to represent the meaning of words!
- Word-word co-occurrence matrix:

|  | aardvark | computer | data | pinch | result | sugar | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| apricot | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| pineapple | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| digital | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| information | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 |  |

## Words as Vectors



The range of $\cos ($.$) is [0,1]$

Quiz: Compute the cosine similarity between "digital" $[1,1]$ and "information" $[6,4]$.

## Words as VECTORS

- Problem: using raw frequency counts is not always very good..
- Solution: let's weight the counts!
- $\operatorname{PPMI}=$ Positive Pointwise Mutual Information

$$
\operatorname{PPMI}(w, c)=\max \left(\log _{2} \frac{P(w, c)}{P(w) P(c)}, 0\right)
$$

|  | computer | data | result | pie | sugar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cherry | 2 | 8 | 9 | 442 | 25 |
| strawberry | 0 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 19 |
| digital | 1670 | 1683 | 85 | 5 | 4 |
| information | 3325 | 3982 | 378 | 5 | 13 |

$w$ and $c$ are two words in the same text window

|  | computer | data | result | pie | sugar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cherry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.38 | 3.30 |
| strawberry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.10 | 5.51 |
| digital | 0.18 | 0.01 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| information | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0 | 0 |

## Sparse vs. Dense Vectors

- Still, the vectors we get from word-word occurrence matrix are sparse (most are 0's) \& long (vocabulary size)
- Alternative: we want to represent words as short (50-300 dimensional) \& dense (real-valued) vectors
- The focus of this lecture
- The basis of all the modern NLP systems


## Dense Vectors



## Why Dense Vectors?

- Short vectors are easier to use as features in ML systems
- Dense vectors may generalize better than storing explicit counts
- They do better at capturing synonymy
- $w_{1}$ co-occurs with "car", $w_{2}$ co-occurs with "automobile" $\rightarrow w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are synonyms


## Different Methods for Getting Dense Vectors

- Singular value decomposition (SVD)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\begin{array}{c} 
\\
X
\end{array}\right]={ }_{|V| \times|V|} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\sigma_{1} & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{2} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \sigma_{3} & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \sigma_{k}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
C \\
k \times|V|
\end{array}\right]} \\
\\
\\
\\
\end{array}\right]
$$

- Limitations:
- Vocab usually big, so matrix is big and difficult to train
- Imbalance due to high frequency words
- As new words are added to the vocab, matrix size changes, need to re-train!
- https://gyan-mittal.com/nlp-ai-ml/nlp-word-embedding-svd-based-methods/
o word2vec and friends: "learn" the vectors!
- Much more elegant than SVD


## Word2vec and Friends



- (Mikolov et al, 2013): Distributed Representations of Words and Phrases and their Compositionality



## Word2vec

- Input: a large text corpus, V, d
- V: a pre-defined vocabulary
- d: dimension of word vectors (e.g. 300)
- Text corpora:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{\text {cat }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-0.224 \\
0.130 \\
-0.290 \\
0.276
\end{array}\right) \quad v_{\text {dog }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-0.124 \\
0.430 \\
-0.200 \\
0.329
\end{array}\right) \\
& v_{\text {the }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0.234 \\
0.266 \\
0.239 \\
-0.199
\end{array}\right) \quad v_{\text {language }}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
0.290 \\
-0.441 \\
0.762 \\
0.982
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Output:

$$
f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}
$$

## Word2VEC

Word
Cosine distance

- Word = "sweden"

| norway | 0.760124 |
| ---: | ---: |
| denmark | 0.715460 |
| finland | 0.620022 |
| switzerland | 0.588132 |
| belgium | 0.585835 |
| netherlands | 0.574631 |
| iceland | 0.562368 |
| estonia | 0.547621 |
| slovenia | 0.531408 |

## Word2VEC

INPUT PROJECTION OUTPUT INPUT PROJECTION OUTPUT


## SKIP-GRAM

- Idea: Use the center word to predict its context words.
- Context: a fixed window of $2 m$



## SKIP-GRAM

- Next time step $t$ :



## SKIP-GRAM: OBJECTIVE FUNCTION

- For each position $t=1,2, \ldots, T$, predict context words within context size $m$, given center word $w_{j}$ :
all the parameters to be optimized

$$
\mathcal{L}(\theta)=\prod_{t=1}^{T} \prod_{-m \leq j \leq m, j \neq 0} P\left(w_{t+j} \mid w_{t} ; \theta\right)
$$

- The objective function $J(\theta)$ is the (average) negative log likelihood:

$$
J(\theta)=-\frac{1}{T} \log \mathcal{L}(\theta)=-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{-m \leq j \leq m, j \neq 0} \log P\left(w_{t+j} \mid w_{t} ; \theta\right)
$$

## How to Define: $P\left(W_{T+J} \mid W_{T} ; \Theta\right)$ ?

- There are two word embeddings (vectors) for each word in the vocabulary:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: \text { embedding for target word } i \\
& \mathbf{v}_{i^{\prime}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: \text { embedding for context word } i
\end{aligned}
$$

- Use inner product $\boldsymbol{u}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_{i^{\prime}}$ to measure how likely a word $i$ appears with context word $i$, the larger the better.

$$
P\left(w_{t+j} \mid w_{t}\right)=\frac{\exp \left(\mathbf{u}_{w_{t}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{w_{t+j}}\right)}{\sum_{k \in V} \exp \left(\mathbf{u}_{w_{t}} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{k}\right)}
$$

$\theta=\left\{\left\{\mathbf{u}_{k}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{v}_{k}\right\}\right\}$ are all the parameters in this model!

## How to Train the Model

- Calculate all the gradients together!

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta=\left\{\left\{\mathbf{u}_{k}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{v}_{k}\right\}\right\} \\
J(\theta)=-\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \sum_{-m \leq j \leq m, j \neq 0} \log P\left(w_{t+j} \mid w_{t} ; \theta\right) \quad \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)=?
\end{gathered}
$$

Quiz: Suppose the vocab is $V$ in the corpus, how many parameters in $\theta$ to train in total?

- We can apply stochastic gradient descent (SGD)!

$$
\theta^{(t+1)}=\theta^{(t)}-\eta \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)
$$

- Let's go through the math.


## WARM-UP

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(x)=\exp (x) & \frac{d f}{d x}= \\
& \exp (x) \\
f(x)=\log (x) & \frac{d f}{d x}=\frac{1}{x}
\end{array}
$$

chain rule:
$f(x)=f_{1}\left(f_{2}(x)\right)$
$f(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{a}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d f}{d x}=\quad \frac{d f_{1}(z)}{d z} \frac{d f_{2}(x)}{d x} \\
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}}=\mathbf{a} \\
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}}=\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Computing the Gradients

- Consider one pair of target/context words $(t, c)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y=-\log \left(\frac{\exp \left(\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{c}\right)}{\sum_{k \in V} \exp \left(\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{k}\right)}\right) \\
& \begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{t}} & =\frac{\partial\left(-\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{c}+\log \left(\sum_{k \in V} \exp \left(\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{k}\right)\right)\right)}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{t}} \\
& =-\mathbf{v}_{c}+\frac{\sum_{k \in V} \frac{\partial \exp \left(\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{k}\right)}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{t}}}{\sum_{k \in V} \exp \left(\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{k}\right)} \\
& =-\mathbf{v}_{c}+\frac{\sum_{k \in V} \exp \left(\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{k}\right) \mathbf{v}_{k}}{\sum_{k \in V} \exp \left(\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{k}\right)} \\
& =-\mathbf{v}_{c}+\sum_{k \in V} P(k \mid t) \mathbf{v}_{k} \\
\frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k}} & =-1(k=c) \mathbf{u}_{t}+P(k \mid t) \mathbf{u}_{t} \quad \text { (Try to derive this!) }
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Putting it Together

- Input: text corpus, context size $m$, embedding size $d$, vocab $\boldsymbol{V}$
- Initialize $\boldsymbol{u}_{i}, \boldsymbol{v}_{i}$ randomly
- Work through the training corpus and collection training data $(t, c)$ :
- Update:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{u}_{t} \leftarrow \mathbf{u}_{t}-\eta \frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{t}}} \\
& \mathbf{v}_{k} \leftarrow \mathbf{v}_{k}-\eta \frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}}, \forall k \in V
\end{aligned}
$$

- Any problem here?


## Skip-GRAM WITH NEGATIVE SAMPLING (SGNS)

- Problem: for each training data pair $(t, c)$, you need to update the embedding of every word in the vocab. That's too expensive!

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{u}_{t}} & =-\mathbf{v}_{c}+\sum_{k \in V} P(k \mid t) \mathbf{v}_{k} \\
\frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{v}_{k}} & =-1(k=c) \mathbf{u}_{t}+P(k \mid t) \mathbf{u}_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Negative Sampling: instead of considering all the words in V, let's randomly sample $\mathrm{K}(5-20)$ negative examples.
softmax: $\quad y=-\log \left(\frac{\exp \left(\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{c}\right)}{\sum_{k \in V} \exp \left(\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{k}\right)}\right)$
NS: $\quad y=-\log \left(\sigma\left(\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{c}\right)\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{j \sim P(w)} \log \left(\sigma\left(-\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{j}\right)\right)$


## SKIP-GRAM WITH NEGATIVE SAMPLING (SGNS)

$$
y=-\log \left(\sigma\left(\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{c}\right)\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{K} \mathbb{E}_{j \sim P(w)} \log \left(\sigma\left(-\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{j}\right)\right)
$$

positive examples + t c apricot tablespoon apricot of apricot jam apricot a

## negative examples -

| t | c |
| :--- | :--- |
| apricot aardvark | apricot seven |
| apricot my | apricot forever |
| apricot where | apricot dear |
| apricot coaxial | apricot if |

$$
\sigma(x)=\frac{1}{1+\exp (-x)}
$$



- Same as training a logistic regression for binary classification!

$$
P(D=1 \mid t, c)=\sigma\left(\mathbf{u}_{t} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{c}\right)
$$

- Compute the gradient in assignment!


## Continous Bag of Words (CBOW)

INPUT PROJECTION OUTPUT


## GloVe: Global Vectors

- Skip-gram and CBoW uses local context
- Slow to train when the corpus is very large
- Let's take the global co-occurrence statistics $X_{i j}$ :

$$
J=\sum_{i, j=1}^{V} f\left(X_{i j}\right)\left(w_{i}^{T} \tilde{w}_{j}+b_{i}+\tilde{b}_{j}-\log X_{i j}\right)^{2}
$$

- $X_{i j}$ tabulate the number of times word $j$ occurs in the context of word $i$.
$f(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}\left(x / x_{\max }\right)^{\alpha} & \text { if } x<x_{\max } \\ 1 & \text { otherwise } .\end{array}\right.$



## GloVe: Global Vectors

- Nearest word to frog:
- frogs
- toad
- litoria
- leptodactylidae
- rana
- lizard
- eleutherodactylus

(Pennington et al, 2014): GloVe: Global Vectors for Word Representation


## FastText: Sub-Word Embeddings

- Similar as Skip-gram, but break words into n -grams with $\mathrm{n}=$ 3 to 6
where: 3-grams: <wh, whe, her, ere, re>
4-grams: <whe, wher, here, ere>
5-grams: <wher, where, here>
6-grams: <where, where>
- Replace $\boldsymbol{u}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}_{j}$ by

- More to come: contextualized word embeddings
(Bojanowski et al, 2017): Enriching Word Vectors with Subword Information


## Pre－Trained Word Embeddings Available

－word2vec：https：／／code．google．com／archive／p／word2vec／
－GloVe：https：／／nlp．stanford．edu／projects／glove／
－FastText：https：／／fasttext．cc／
NLPL word embeddings repository
brought to you by Language Technology Group at the University of Oslo
We feature models trained with clearly stated hyperparametes，on clearly described and linguistically pre－processed corpora．
More information and hints at the NLPL wiki page．You can also download the JSON file containing metadata for all the models in the repository．
Filter your search by：
Language
Select one or more languages：
English［eng］（models：43）
Estonian［est］（models：2）
Basque［eus］（models：2）
Persian［fas］（models：2）

## Algorithms：

चGlobal Vectors $\nabla$ bert 『embeddings from Language Models（ELMo）『fastText Skipgram 『Word2Vec Continuous Skipgram 『Gensim Continuous Skipgram VGensim Continuous Bag－of－Words VfastText Continuous Bag－of－Words

Lemmatization：
VTrue Øfalse
－Differ in algorithms，text corpora，dimensions，cased／uncased．．．

## Evaluating Word Embeddings

## Extrinsic vs Intrinsic Evaluation

- Extrinsic evaluation
- Plug these word embeddings into a real NLP system and see if this improves the performance
- Could take a long time but still the most important evaluation metric

- Intrinsic evaluation
- Evaluate on a specific/intermediate subtask
- Fast to compute
- Not clear if it really helps the downstream task


## Intrinsic Evaluation

- Word similarity task
- Example dataset: WordSim-353

353 pairs of words with human judgement

- http://www.cs.technion.ac.il/~gabr/resources/data/wordsim353/

Word 1 Word 2 Human (mean)

| tiger | cat | 7.35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tiger | tiger | 10 |
| book | paper | 7.46 |
| computer | internet | 7.58 |
| plane | car | 5.77 |
| professor | doctor | 6.62 |
| stock | phone | 1.62 |
| stock | CD | 1.31 |
| stock | jaguar | 0.92 |

Cosine similarity:
$\cos \left(\boldsymbol{u}_{i}, \boldsymbol{u}_{j}\right)=\frac{\boldsymbol{u}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{j}}{\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{i}\right\|_{2} \times\left\|\boldsymbol{u}_{j}\right\|_{2}}$.

Metric:
Spearman Rank Correlation

## Intrinsic Evaluation

- Word Similarity Results (Spearman correlations):

| Model | Size | WS353 | MC | RG | SCWS | RW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SVD | 6B | 35.3 | 35.1 | 42.5 | 38.3 | 25.6 |
| SVD-S | 6B | 56.5 | 71.5 | 71.0 | 53.6 | 34.7 |
| SVD-L | 6B | 65.7 | $\underline{72.7}$ | 75.1 | 56.5 | 37.0 |
| CBOW $^{\dagger}$ | 6B | 57.2 | 65.6 | 68.2 | 57.0 | 32.5 |
| SG $^{\dagger}$ | 6B | 62.8 | 65.2 | 69.7 | $\underline{58.1}$ | 37.2 |
| GloVe | 6B | $\underline{65.8}$ | $\underline{72.7}$ | $\underline{77.8}$ | 53.9 | $\underline{38.1}$ |
| SVD-L | 42B | 74.0 | 76.4 | 74.1 | 58.3 | 39.9 |
| GloVe | 42B | $\underline{\mathbf{7 5 . 9}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{8 3 . 6}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{8 2 . 9}}$ | $\mathbf{\underline { \mathbf { 5 9 . 6 } }}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{4 7 . 8}}$ |
| CBOW $^{*}$ | 100 B | $\mathbf{6 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 . 4}$ | 59.4 | 45.5 |

## Intrinsic Evaluation

- Word analogy man:woman $\approx$ king: ?

$$
\arg \max _{i}\left(\cos \left(\mathbf{u}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{b}-\mathbf{u}_{a}+\mathbf{u}_{c}\right)\right)
$$

## Semantic

Austin:Texas $\approx$ ? :California

## Syntactic

bad:worst $\approx$ hot: ?

More examples at:
http://download.tensorflow.org/data/questions-words.txt


[^0]:    "words that occur in similar contexts tend to have similar meanings"

